AD

TECHNICAL REPORT 76-52-FEL

QUALITY EVALUATION OF HERBS AND SPICES IN THE MILITARY FOOD SYSTEM

Project Reference: O&MA 728012.19

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

June 1976

UNITED STATES ARMY
NATICK RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
NATICK, MASSACHUSETTS 01760



Food Engineering Laboratory FEL-54

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TR 76-52 FEL A TITLE (mod submitte) "Quality Evaluation of Herbs and Spices in The Military Food System" "Quality Evaluation of Herbs and Spices in The Military Food System" 7. AUTHOR(s) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 12. REPORT DATE June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 16. Supplementary NOTES 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. Supplementary NOTES 19. NEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify, by Monk number) HERBS PACKAGING CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEED ING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side in necessary and identify by Monk number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Eval- The Services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Eval- The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Eval- The services made an official inquiry into the quality of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification conformance, NARADCOM used a sensory profile panel to evaluate armatic strength and tested	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
"Quality Evaluation of Herbs and Spices in The Military Food System" "Author(e) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers "Performing Organization name and address US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 10. Controlling Office Name and address Same as Above 11. Controlling Office Name and address Same as Above 13. Monitoring Agency name & address(if alliterant from Controlling Office) 14. Monitoring Agency name & address(if alliterant from Controlling Office) 15. Security Class. (of this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 16. Distribution Statement (of the abstract antered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract antered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. Supplementary Notes 19. Key Words (Continue on reverse slide if necessary and identify by block number) 19. Supplementary Notes 10. Program element, Project, Task Area work unit viumbers 10. Program element, Project, Task Area work unit viumbers 10. Program element, Project, Task Area work unit viumbers 10. Program element, Project, Task Area work unit viumbers 11. Controlling Office 12. Report Date June 1976 13. Number of Profes 14. Monitoring Agency name & Address (alliterant from Controlling Office) 15. Security Class. (of this report) Unclassified 15. Security Class. (of this report) Unclassified 15. Security Class. (of this report) Word Date of the Report) 16. Distribution statement (of the abstract antered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract antered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. Security Class. 19. Key Words (Continue on reverse slide if necessary and identify by block number) 19. Key Words (Continue on reverse slide if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse slide if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse slide if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse slide if	1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCE	
"Quality Evaluation of Herbs and Spices in The Military Food System" 7. AUTHOR(s) Y. Masucka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) Y. Masucka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. Report Date June 1976 12. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ACCRESS(II diliterent from Controlling Office) 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of file Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II diliterent from Report) HERBS PACKAGING SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILITY SPICES CONDIMENTS MOISTURE FRED ING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military Supply System at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformato,	TR 76-52 FEL	=
Military Food System" 7. Author(s) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 8. Contract or grant number(s) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 9. Performing organization name and address US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. Controlling office name and address Same as Above 12. Report Date June 1976 Ju	4. TITLE (and Subtitie)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
FEL-54 7. AUTHOR(s) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. REPORT DATE June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II diliterent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this respect) Unclassified 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this respect) Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Respect) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract selected in Block 20, II diliterent from Report) 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract selected in Block 20, II diliterent from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) HERRS PACKACING SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE 70. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification conformance,		The Technical Report
Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. REPORT DATE June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (et this report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (et this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (et the abstract entered in Block 20, It different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification or requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	Military Food System"	
9. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. REPORT DATE June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II diliterent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II diliterent from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an officical inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFFEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARDOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. Report Date June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification conformance,	Y. Masuoka; Ivor T. Nii; Edmund M. Powers	
US Army Natick Research and Development Command Natick, MA 01760 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. Report Date June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification conformance,		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Same as Above 12. REPORT DATE June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(# different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (cf this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde if necessary and identity by block number) HERBS PACKAGING SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification conformance,	9	ommand
Same as Above June 1976 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II dilferent from Report) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and Identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFFEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	Natick, MA 01/00	OMILE FEOTERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SOCIEDAN 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, II dillerent from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDINENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting, As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine 1f they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II diliterent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If diliterent from Report) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS WOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONDINENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12–13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine 1f they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	Same as Above	
Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING NA 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKACING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number) HERS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILITY CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling	g Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		15a, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, it different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	14 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Denost)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identity by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		hat take
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the dostract entered in block 20, if a	illerent from Report)
HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
HERBS PACKAGING VOLATILITY SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
SPICES SEALED SYSTEMS VOLATILE LOSS CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		·
CONDIMENTS MOISTURE TRANSFER MILITARY FEEDING SYSTEMS CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDING 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
The services made an official inquiry into the quality of herbs and spices in the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,	CONTAINERS MOISTURE FEEDI	NG
the Military supply system at the 12-13 January 1972 Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
uation Committee (AFPEC) meeting. As a result of this inquiry, it was recommended that the US Army Natick Research and Development Command (NARADCOM) survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
survey samples of herbs and spices from sixteen Continental United States (CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		
(CONUS) Military supply locations to determine if they conformed to specification requirements. In addition to testing for specification conformance,		

for microbiological flora. Herbs and spices from a leading producer of condiments were used as control samples. Results indicated that many of the herbs and spices being used by the Services were only marginal in quality when compared with their control commercial counterparts.	,
	5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables and Figures	3
Introduction	4
Experimental Procedures	5
Results and Discussion	8
Conclusion	11
References	12

PREFACE

The US Army Natick Research and Development Command has received complaints about the quality of herbs and spices in the supply system. However, there is a lack of official documentation, unsatisfactory material reports (UMR's, etc.). Part of the problem is undoubtly due to the limited use of hermetically sealed containers to package these items. The commercial dredge-top retail container does not offer complete protection against moisture transfer, loss of volatiles, and the like. Compounding this problem, condiments with the exception of ground black pepper are locally procured items, or "Y" coded. Local procurement permits government buying of products of a value up to \$2500.00 as small local purchases without inspection to verify that specification requirements have been met. Since the value of most spices and herbs is solely a function of the volatile and non-volatile flavors and analyses for these characteristics are seldom conducted, it is possible that the Government may be receiving something less than it should. The following study was initiated to explore the above problem and to propose changes to improve this situation. This effort was undertaken under Department of Defense Production Engineering in support of stock fund food and food service items.

The authors acknowledge with thanks the assistance of the following personnel of the US Army Natick Research and Development Command:

- 1. The Flavor Profile Panel.
- 2. The Food Acceptance Group, Food Science Laboratory.
- 3. The Food Chemistry Group, Food Sciences Laboratory.
- 4. The Food Microbiology Group, Food Sciences Laboratory.

LIST OF TABLES

Tab	<u>1e</u>	Page
1.	Location of Continental United States (CONUS) Bases submitting	13.
	Samples.	
2.	Chemical Analysis of Bay Leaves.	14.
3.	Chemical Analysis of Garlic Powder,	15.
4.	Chemical Analysis of Ground Cinnamon.	16.
5.	Chemical Analysis of Oregano.	17.
6.	Chemical Analysis of Chili Powder.	18.
7.	Chemical Analysis of Ground Mustard.	19.
8.	Moisture Analysis and Scoville Pungency Ratings of Cayenne Pepper.	20.
9.	Profile Panel Evaluation of Spices and Herbs.	21.
10.	Quality of Bay Leaves as Related to Chemical Analysis, Profile	22.
	Evaluations, and Packaging Media.	
11.	Quality of Garlic Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, Profile	23.
	Evaluations and Packaging Media,	en A
12.	Quality of Cinnamon Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, Profile	24.
10	Evaluations and Packaging Media,	0.0
13.	Quality of Oregano Leaf & Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis,	26 .
7.	Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media.	0.0
14.	Quality of Chili Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, ASTA Color,	28.
	Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media.	
15.	Quality of Ground Mustard as Related to Chemical Analysis, Profile	30.
	Evaluations and Packaging Media.	
16.	Quality of Red Pepper as Related to Chemical Analysis, Scoville	32.
_ _	Ratings, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media.	
17.	Microbiology of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces.	34.
18.	Aerobic Plate Count (APC) of Processed Spices Used by the Armed	35.
	Forces.	
19.	Yeast and Mold Count of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces.	36。
20.	Coliform Count of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces.	37.
21.	Clostridium Perfringens Count in Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces.	38.
22.	Spices Containing Food Poisoning Bacteria and Coliforms.	39.
23.	Food Poisoning Bacteria and Coliforms Found in Different Brands	40.
	of Coice	₹V ¢

INTRODUCTION

The Armed Forces Product Evaluation Committee requested that the U.S. Army Natick Research and Development Command perform a quality audit of herbs and spices being supplied to the Military Services. Condiments, with the exception of ground black pepper, are locally purchased items, and aside from occasional Unsatisfactory Material Reports, very little feedback data are available to evaluate their quality in the supply system.

Each Military Service requested four installations to submit 6 samples each of ground cinnamon, ground oregano, ground red pepper, ground mustard, chili powder, dehydrated garlic powder, and whole bay leaves with information on date of pack or date of receipt. These items represent the most widely used herbs and spices. They were sent to Natick R&DC in various commercial containers such as glass bottles, paper bags, cans (both clinched and double seamed), cartons and chip-board boxes. The unit net weights ranged from 3/16 ounce to one pound.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

- A. Chemical Analyses. The following analyses were performed on the herbs and spices: total ash, acid insoluble ash, volatile oil (expressed as ml/100g), non-volatile ether extract, ASTA color value, and moisture. These methods are described in the Official Analytical Methods of the American Spice Trade Association. The specific tests required for each herb or spice are referenced in the appropriate specifications for Chili Powder Seasoning, Onions and Garlic, Dehydrated, and Spices, Ground and Whole 4.
- B. Scoville Pungency Evaluation. Fifteen samples of cayenne pepper and one sample of red pepper were tested using the Scoville (sensory) Heat Test of Pungency of Capsicum Spices and Oleoresins (ASTA Method 21.0) modified to denote a "presence" or "absence" of heat for each sample. Screened panels were presented a series of 4 dilutions in increasing strength; included in each series was a sample at 45,000 Units (for cayenne pepper) and 31,000 Units (for red pepper). These pungency ratings are the minimum requirements set forth in Federal Specification EE-S-631G 4. Three out of five judges recording positive responses at the minimum allowable pungency level constituted a "passing" sample. In this way, it was not necessary to determine the precise Scoville Value for each pepper but, to determine only if a pepper conformed to the specification requirements.

An alcoholic extract was made by adding 50 ml of 95% ethyl alcohol to 0.10 gram of ground pepper (Schedule A-Range C of ASTA-Method 21.0) and allowing a 20-24 hour extraction period. The extract was then filtered into a 50 ml volumetric flask and brought back to volume with 95% ethyl alcohol. For testing, the dilutions were prepared using a 5% sucrose solution (50 grams made up to a liter), made one hour prior to testing. The proper amount of alcoholic extract was placed in a 50 ml volumetric flask and diluted to the mark with sucrose solution.

For each test, a series of 4 dilutions as specified in Schedule B-ASTA-Method 21.0 was presented to each subject as follows:

Cayenne Pepper Extract	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Scoville Units	Blank	25,000	45,000*	60,000
ml of extract	0.00	1.00m1	0.55ml	0.42m1
ml of sucrose (5% solution)	50m1	49.0ml	49.45m1	49.58m1
*Note: For red pepper, 31,000	Scoville Units	was substi	tuted for 45	,000 units.

Subjects were presented a 5 ml sample of all 4 dilutions at one time in 1 ounce plastic cups. An unsalted cracker and water were served to panel members to reduce flavor carry-over between tastings.

C. <u>Profile Evaluation</u> (spice strength). The standard profile technique was used to evaluate spice strength⁵. The flavor profile panel members (5-6 members per session) were instructed to report the aroma and flavor impressions and their strengths. These impressions and strengths were then converted to "good", "weak" and "absent". The samples were prepared by dilution to just-recognizable

. 4

or threshold value as follows (Room temperature was used in all cases): Garlic-1:1,000,000 dilution prepared in two steps by adding ling to 1000 mbs waters and letting diluent stand 2 hours. A one (1) ml aliquot of this concentrated solution was diluted further into 1000 ml water and letterand fort2 hours conthis. represented an aqueous solution of Lip.p.m. garlic. Cimmamon-0.05 g/100 g applesauce. One (1) g in 200 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Dilute 10 ml of this solution with 90 g canned applesauce. Let stand 2 hours. Oregano-0.01 g/100 ml water. One (1) g in 200 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Dilute two (2) ml of this solution with 98 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Mustard-0.2 g/100 g chicken broth. Add 2 g in 100 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Dilute 10 ml of this solution with 90 g of chicken broth. Let stand 2 hours. Red Pepper (cayenne pepper and red pepper).-0.02 g/100 g chicken broth. One (1) g in 100 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Dilute 2 ml of this solution with 98.0 g chicken broth. Let stand 2 hours. Bay Leaves-0.02 g/100 ml water. 2 g in 100 ml water. Let stand 4 hours. Dilute one (1) ml of this solution in 100 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Chili Powder-0.75 g/100 ml water. 5 g in 100 ml water. Let stand 2 hours. Dilute 1.5 ml of this solution in 100 ml water. Let stand 2 hours.

D. <u>Microbiological Evaluations</u>

Preparation of sample. Ten grams of each spice or herb were weighed into a sterile, sterile, tared blender jar and blended for 1 to 2 minutes in 90 ml of sterile phosphate buffered water (SBW)⁶.

Dilutions

All dilutions were made from the initial blend (1:10) by transferring 10 ml into 90 ml Butterfield Phosphate buffer-SBW. 6-7 The lowest dilution cultured for any count was 1:100 to avoid possible inhibition of growth due to bactericidal or bacteriostatic properties of some spices 8,9. In the case of Clostridium perfringens counts, this dilution was achieved by spreading 0.1 ml of 1:10 dilution on duplicate plates of Shahidi Ferguson Perfringens (SFP) agar.

Inhibition of Bacterial Growth by Spices

To determine whether the spices tested would inhibit bacterial growth, Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus were inoculated in tubes of lauryl sulfate tryptose (LST) broth and trypticase soy broth (TSB) containing 10% sodium chloride (NaCl), respectively, to which was added 1 ml of 1:10 dilution of each spice. Excellent growth of both organisms was achieved in their respective media in less than 24 hours at 35°C, indicating that the spices were not inhibitory at the concentration tested. Subsequent recovery of both organisms by standard methods (described below) was also achieved. To allow a margin of safety, the lowest "in-use" dilution of spices for all counting procedures was set at 1:100.

Media

All media were purchased from Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan.

Aerobic Plate Count

One ml dilutions ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^{-5} were pipetted into duplicate petriplates, poured with plate count agar, and incubated at 35° C for 48 hours.

Yeast and Mold Count

Dilutions prepared for aerobic plate counts were also used for making yeast and mold counts. One ml of each dilution was added to a petri plate and poured with potato dextrose agar (PDA), acidified to pH 3.5. Plates were incubated at 23°C for 5 days before counting.

Coliform and Fecal Coliform Count

Coliforms were estimated by a 3-tube probable number (MPN) determination in lauryl sulfate tryptose (LST) broth by standard methods 6 . Gas producing LST tubes were confirmed in brilliant green lactose bile (2%) broth (BGLB). Fecal coliforms were estimated by transferring growth from positive LST tubes to Escherichia coli (EC) broth at 45.5° C for 48 hours 6 . Counts, determined from MPN tables, are presented as 30/g when all tubes at the 1:100 dilution are negative.

Coagulase Positive Staphylococci

Coagulase positive staphylococci were estimated by a modification of the 3-tube MPN procedure by using the same dilutions used for the coliform count. To allow for recovery of injured cells, 1 ml of appropriate dilution was added to 5 ml of TSB and incubated at 35°C for 3 hours. An equal volume of TSB containing 19.5% NaCl was then added to each tube to yield a final salt concentration of 10%, 10. After incubation at 35°C for 48 hours, 0.1 ml of the TSB-NaCl culture was spread on each of two plates of Vogel Johnson agar. Plates were incubated at 35°C and examined after 24 and 48 hours for the presence of smooth black colonies with yellow zones. Two or more typical representative colonies were transferred to brain heart infusion (BHI) tubes and tested for coagulase production according to standard procedures. Counts, determined from MPN tables, are presented as 30/g when all tubes at the 1:100 dilution are negative.

Clostridium perfringens

Clostridium perfringens organisms were counted by spreading 0.1 ml of 1:10 and 1:100 dilutions onto duplicate plates of Shahidi-Ferguson perfringens (SFP) agar¹¹. Plates were overlaid with 10 ml of SFP overlay agar (egg yolk omitted), placed into gas pack anaerobic jars (BBL), and incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. Black colonies surrounded by a zone of precipitate were counted and confirmed in lactose motility (LM) agar. Lactose motility agar was steamed for 10 minutes and cooled immediately prior to use. Inoculated tubes were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. Non-motile, lactose positive cultures in LM agar, exhibiting typical microscopic morphology, were considered to be C. Perfringens¹¹. Counts were presented as 50/g when one colony was confirmed from 0.1 ml of a 1:10 dilution. When no colonies were observed, counts were presented as 400/g

since the lowest dilution per gram was 1:100.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of chemical analysis of herbs and spices are shown in Tables 2 through 8. These tables show the chemical analysis of herbs and spices submitted by four (4) CONUS installations from each of the four Services and industry in comparsion with specification requirements.

Table 2 Bay Leaves - Generally, most samples met the specification requirements of EE-S-631G for % total ash, acid insoluble ash and moisture; however, almost 60% of the samples had a volatile oil level less than the specification requirement. This condition may have resulted from the procurement, initially, of low quality products, or products which deteriorated during storage due to improper packaging or both of these factors.

Table 3 Garlic- Specification requirements of JJJ-0-1866 for acid insoluble ash was exceeded by 1/2 of the samples and moisture was exceeded by over 1/3 of the samples. This condition may be due to the same reasons stated for bay leaves. High acid insoluble ash may have been due to contamination with dirt or sand and high moisture content may have been due to a high moisture initially or poor packaging.

Table 4 Ground Cinnamon- Generally, samples met the specification requirements of EE-S-631G except that the % volatile oil was lower in almost 3/4 of the samples. This condition is again similar to that for bay leaves.

Table 5 Oregano- Oregano met the moisture requirement of EE-S-631G; however, % total ash was higher in over a third of the samples, % acid insoluble ash was higher in almost 2/5 of the samples and % volatile oil was lower in over 2/3 of the samples. The greater ash and acid insoluble ash content indicate the product may be heavily contaminated with extraneous materials such as stones, sand, fibers, etc. The lower volatile oil content in the samples is probable due to the same assumptions cited for bay leaves.

Table 6 Chili Powder- Over 3/4 of the chili powder samples met the specification requirements of MIL-C-3394C for % total ash. However, none of the samples met the minimum ASTA color requirement. The ASTA color requirement in the specification is "not less than 70 ASTA extractable color." The requirement for 70 ASTA color may be attained through proper blending of California chili pepper (Anaheim variety of Capsicum annum). A high level of California chili pepper is necessary in order to meet the color requirements, i.e., "bright deep red" for peppers and "reddish brown color" in the finished chili powder. Below 70 ASTA color value means that possible an insufficient quantity of California chili pepper was used in the blend or that the color had deteriorated during storage or both factors were occurring.

Table 7 Mustard, Ground - Generally, 2/3 of the samples met the specification requirements of EE-S-631G for total ash. The product essentially conformed to the specification.

Table 8 Cayenne Pepper, ground - The cayenne pepper is no longer called cayenne but is called red pepper. This change was made through ASTA. Furthermore, the Scoville heat range was revised to incorporate both red pepper and cayenne pepper using 30M to 55M Units as specified in EE-S-631H. The results of Scoville Pungency Ratings of red pepper as compared with the control samples submitted by industry sources are shown in Table 8. The Scoville rating and the moisture met the specification requirements of EE-S-631G. EE-S-631G requires 30M Units for red pepper and 45M Units for cayenne pepper and a moisture content of not more than 10.0 percent.

Table 9 Profile Panel Evaluation of Spices and Herbs - The results of the profile panel evaluation of herbs and spices are shown in Table 9. In this evaluation, the individual herbs and spices were compared with control samples submitted by a leading condiment manufacturer. The evaluations of these items are as follows:

Bay Leaves - Out of 15 samples submitted, 10 or 2/3 of the samples were rated weak.

Garlic Powder - Out of 13 samples submitted, 3 or approximately 1/4 of the samples were rated weak.

Cinnamon, Ground - Out of 16 samples of ground cinnamon submitted, 8 or 1/2 or the samples were rated weak.

Oregano Powder - Out of 14 samples of oregano powder, 3 or about 1/4 of the samples were rated weak.

Chili Powder - Out of 16 samples of chili powder, 4 or about 1/4 of the samples were rated weak.

Mustard Powder - Out of 14 samples, 7 or 1/2 were judged as weak.

Red Pepper - Out of 13 samples, 5 were judges as weak and 1 sample had no pepper flavor.

The results of the packaging evaluations are shown in Tables 10-16. The polystyrene cup with poly-friction cap used for bay leaves was thought to be too permeable to the atmosphere and thus unsatisfactory. The slip cover fiberboard carton was found to be an unsatisfactory package, see Tables 10 and 12; however, ground mustard packed in a carton with a slip cover was rated as satisfactory by the profile panels, see Table 15, although the panel reported that the ground mustard had a musty after taste. It is possible that this after-taste may have been absorbed from contaminants of the carton. The glassine lined paper bag folded for closure used in packaging of the bay leaves (Table 10), was found to be satisfactory if the bay leaves are used within a short period of time. This was substantiated by the profile evaluation and per cent volatile oil. It is possible that this type of packaging material may be suitable for a leafy type of product such as bay leaves or oregano where the volatile components are entrapped within the leafy material. The friction top can and dredge top can were found to be borderline packages as shown in Tables 10 through 16. This was substantiated by profile tests. Data for some spices indicate that the friction top can offers a slight advantage. None of these cans were hermetically sealed. The glass bottle with plastic screw cap was found to be adequate as a packaging media, although a few samples were rated

unsatisfactory by profile panels as shown in Tables 10-16. It was noted that the glass bottle with plastic cap appears superior to the dredge top and friction top cans; however, it does not prevent moisture transfer because of the loose fit of its plastic screw cap.

Lastly, the hermetically-sealed can is one of the best barriers possible for herbs and spices, although it requires some sort of reclosure device. It was used as shown in Tables 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16.

Microbiological testing of the herbs and spices was conducted as shown in Tables 17-23. Aerobic plate counts ranged from 100 to 9.1×10^6 per gram depending on which spice was being tested. Yeast and mold counts ranged from 100 to 6.7×10^5 per gram. Coliforms were found in cinnamon, garlic powder, and red pepper. No fecal coliforms were found in any of the spices (30/g lowest dilution). Coagulase positive staphylococci were found in one sample of oregano.

<u>C. perfringens</u> was found in oregano, cinnamon, red pepper, and bay leaves, in a range of 50 to 2850 per gram. Oregano had the highest incidence of <u>C. perfringens</u>.

What is the public health significance of these findings? According to Peppler 12, aerobic plate counts rarely bear any relation to the safety of the spice. On the other hand high plate counts in spices do not always signify that food spoilage will result from their use since usually only small amounts are used to flavor foods. Notwithstanding, low aerobic plate counts do not assure safety or that food spoilage will not occur. The presence of coliform organisms does not necessarily mean that the spices have been contaminated by pathogens. In this regard many spices reportedly contain natural inhibitors which not only control the development of pathogens in the spice itself but in some instances help control other organisms in foods to which the spice is added. Inhibition; however, was not demonstrated in vitro using E. coli and S. aureus as test microbes. Spices, heavily contaminated with yeast and mold, may release lipases which can substantially reduce the storage life of the product to which they are added.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that the Services are being supplied herbs and spices sometimes of marginal or poor quality. This may be due to initial "marginal" quality, or to poor packaging, or to a combination of both. If these items continue to be procured on a "local" basis and not inspected, they should be obtained only from reputable sources to insure initial good quality. In order to preserve initial quality, they should be procured in protective packaging, (hermetically sealed containers), along with a polyethylene snap-on-lid for reclosure after opening. Dating of packages would assist inventory control and encourage more rapid turnover. Storage at cool temperatures will help maintain quality, also. Ideally, procurements of sufficiently large quantities on a centralized basis in order to justify adequate inspection and verification testing (as in the can with black pepper) would provide the best solution for assuring that spices and herbs of suitable potency are supplied to the Military Services.

REFERENCES

- 1968. Chili Powder Seasoning, Military Specification MIL-C-3394.
 1974. Onions and Garlic, Dehydrated, Federal Specification JJJ-0-1866.
 1971. Spices, Ground and Whole, Federal Specification, EE-S-631.
 1968. Official Analytical Methods of the American Spice Trade Association, Second Edition.
- 5. Carl, J. F. 1957. The Profile Method of Flavor Analysis. Advances in Food Research 7, 1-40.
- 6. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1970. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 11th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- 7. Heidebaugh, N.D., D.B. Rowley, E.M. Powers, C.T. Bourland and J.L. McQueen. 1973. Microbiological Testing of Skylab Foods. Appl. Microbiol. 25 (1): 55-61.
- 8. Frazier, W.C. 1967. Food Microbiology. McGraw and Hill Book Co., New York.
- 9. Longree, Karla. 1967. Quantity Food Sanitation. Interscience Publishers, A Division of John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 10. Powers, E.M., C. Ay, H.M. El-Bisi and D.B. Rowley. 1971. Bacteriology of Dehydrated Space Foods.
- 11. Shahidi, S.A. and A.R. Ferguson. 1971. New Quantative, Qualititative and Confirmatory Media for Rapid Analysis of Food for Clostridium perfringens. Appl OMiopobiol. 21:500-506.
- 12. Peppler, H.J. 1975. Microorganisms in Spices: What they mean, and how to reduce them. Canners Packer 144 (2) 34-35.

Table 1. <u>Location of Continental United States Bases</u> <u>Submitting Samples</u>

Navy Bases

- a. Navy Subsistence Office, Puget Sound, Bremerton, WA
- b. Navy Subsistence Office, Norfolk, VA
- c. Naval Supply Center, San Diego, CA
- d. Naval Construction Battalion, Gulfport, MS

Marine Corps Bases

- e. Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendelton, CA
- f. Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, NC'
- g. Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma, AZ
- h. Marine Corps Base, Quantico, VA

Air Force Bases

- 1. 62nd Air Base Group (SVF), McChord, AFB, WA
- j. Chanute Air Force Base, IL
- k. Bergstrom Air Force Base, TX
- 1. Dover Air Force Base, DE

Army Bases

- m. Fort George Meade, MD
- n. Hq., Fort Sam Houston, TX
- o. Fort Bragg, NC
- p. Fort Ord, CA

Industry

ET-Ethylene treated
NT-Non-ethylene treated

Table 2. Chemical Analyses of Bay Leaves

Samples $\underline{1}/$	% Total Ash	1 NC <u>2</u> 7	Acid Insol. Ash	NC .	Vol 0il Exp as (ml/100g)	NC)	% Moisture	NC
Navry								
, dq	3.46		0.11		96.0	×	5.58	
	3.21		0.23		1.19		5.49	
Ů	3.34		0.14		1.26		5.37	
·	3.54		0.19		1.32		5.25	
Marines					•			ED.
a a	3.41		0.17		0.26	×	4.83	
·	4.26		0.19		0.82	×	5.55	
b.C	5.98	×	1.29	×	1.00		5.01	
Air Force								
-	9.25	×	2.67	×	0.91	×	5.58	
•	3.72		0.25		1.17		7.91	×
, A	3.72		0.28		0.67	×	5.01	e Gi
· i	3.77		0.15		0.87	×	5.31	
Army								
m.	4.20		0.23		1.17		5.01	. N
n.	3.53		0.24		0.80	×	5.21	
•	5.14	×	1.25	×	0.57	×	5.31	
ė	4.07		0.27		0.97	×	5.01	100
Industry								**
ET	3.99		0.17		1.07		4.95	
IN	4.05		0.28		0.00	×	6.70	88
Specification requirement	1	4.50*		0.5*	1	1.0		7.00**
%Nonconformance		18		18	 	59		σ,
						. 1		

^{1/} See Table 1. for location
2/ NC - Nonconforming
* Not more than
** Not less than

Table 3. Chemical Analyses of Garlic Powder

NC	. ×	×	×	××	. ×	6.5*
						m
% Moisture	H (ი 8 ბ ლე. აქ. გ. აქ. გ. აქ.	8.83 3.95 6.04	6.93	5.71	o
						311 12
NC <u>2</u> ,	⊠ ¦	×	××		× ×	X X .04*
% Acid Insoluble Ash	بر (0.05	0.00 0.14 0.12	0.01	0.07	
% Total Ash	7.	3.42 2.65 0.01	2.61 4.99 3.59	2.40	3.67	2 6
Sample 1/		o. d. Marines 1/	7 OT 0			P. Industry 1/ Control Specification requirement % Nonconformance

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / See Table 1. $\frac{2}{4}$ / NC = Nonconforming

Table 4. Chemical Analyses of Ground Cinnamon

Sample $\underline{1}/$	% Total Ash	NC <u>2</u> /	%Acid Insol Ash	NC	Vol Oil Exp as (m1/100g)	NC	% Moisture	e NC Non- Vol Extra	NC
Navy				Es	£Z.		4	160 162 163	8
ď	4.72		.3			×	4.	9.	Œ,
b .	5.10	×	9		ь.	×	8	4.	
			0.01	.,	0.48	×	6.60	1.73	
d.	4.52		Η.		9	×	9	00	
Marines									
ข	4.33		Τ.		٠,	×	7.72	٠.4	ă
.	4.00		0.62		1.96		5.44	0.94	
600			.2		ω,	×	4.	0.	
h.	3.49		0.		ε.	×	•	∞.	
Air Force							 E3		
'n			ή.			×	. 2	6.	
	4.81		0.08		1.18	×	5.49	1.58	
۲.	. 7		0.		0.			ω,	
٠ ٣	3.06		0.		Η.	×	6.	. 7	
Army					27				
n.	3.78		0.11		•		. 7	4.	
n.	2.98		0.		0.91	X	5.79	0.78	
• 0	3.49		0.14		0.	×	4	φ.	٠.
· p ₄	-		0.		9.	×	9.	. 2	
Industry									
TI	•		0.11		1.62		6.79	0.56	
NT	3.00	: -	0.13		7.5		7.16	5	
Specification requiremen	ent3/*	5.0*		2.0	 	1.50*		11.0	
% Nonconformance		ι λ ·	1 1	0	1 1	72	1 1	0	
						*			

^{1/} See Table 1.
2/ NC - Nonconforming
3/ Based on Rorintji cinnamon
** Not more than
** Not less than

Table 5. Chemical Analyses of Oregano

Sample $1/$	% Total Ash	NC 2/	% Acid Insol	NC	Vol. Oil (Expressed	NC	% Moisture	NC
	X E	*	Ash		1			
M	=			=				4
Navy	•		4	-	. 4	;	1	
• ៧	0		2	51	φ,	×	.5	
Q	7.44		9	1	. 4		Τ.	
Ů	24.44	×	17.08	×	1.39	×	5.64	
₽•	8.06		9		∞.	×	ε,	
Marines								
0	6.78		0.		9.	×	.3	
. Ч	11.47	×	5.43	×	1.52	×	6.12	
·••	7.62		. 2				5	
्रकृति प्रकारी ११	9.25		•	×	. 7	×	.5	
	6.34		08.0		1.94	×	90.9	
,	8.46		.3		. 2	×	∞	
· conf	7.79		. 2		ο.		1.	
Army								
·•	. 2	×		×	٠	×		
-	25.31	×	8.1	×		×	7.40	
, a	11.23	×	0.	×	. 7	×	φ,	
Industry	: "				. 3			
ET			78 Va					
	-				:			
Specification requirement] 	9.5*	1	2.0*		3.0*	<u> </u>	10.0*
% Nonconformance	1 .	36		43	1	79		0

^{1/} See Table 1.
2/ NC = Nonconformance
** Not more than
** Not less than

Table 6. Chemical Analyses of Chili Powder

Sample $\underline{1}/$	% Total Ash	NC 2/ % M	Moisture NC	Color (ASTA)	NC
Navv		32 28 =	2		- 1
• •	. 7	60	6.	0	×
þ.	12.26	X 5		33.6	×
. 0	4.	7	9	∞	×
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 7	X 7	9	6	×
Marines					
6	• 5	2	-	9	×
.	66.9	e	.61	16.4	×
•00	.2	Ŋ	٦.	9	×
р.	φ,	7	4.	2.	×
Air Force	1 3				
• •	0	.9	9.	0	×
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7.30	ຕ =	1.67	8.99	×
	• 4	1.0		ن	×
e e	۲.	€ ×	. 7	0	×
Army	•	8	,		
· #	φ.		┥.		×
·u	14.91	9 ×	.78	47.7	×
• 0	•	7			×
p	• 6	e	φ.	1.	×
Industry	1				
Control	9.85	80	.83	53.9	×
Specification					8
requirement	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11.0*	12.0*	1 1 1	**07
% Nonconformance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			* 4 1	100

^{1/} See Table 1
2/ NC = Nonconforming
** Not more than
** Not less than

Table 7. Chemical Analyses of Ground Mustard

v																•					7	
Z		×		×	×		×	×				×		×	×					0.4	ιΩ	
% Volatile Oil		9.	0.44	. 7	• 6		0.	0.79	٠,4		5.	0.39		۲.	0.01	4.	• 4		0.45	!!!		
O																				*0.5	_	
. e												•		;				y .		9	S	
% Moisture		0	3.42	φ.	. 7		9	4.54	. 1	1.		5.43		• 4	4 * 8 4	٦,	٠,4		3.01	1 1	1	
	-3																			*		
NC	5																			0.5	0	
% Acid Insol. Ash	2	.2	0.20	<u>-</u>		14	H	0.21			۲.	0.12		۲.	0.15	1	H		0.13	!!!!!		
- :		÷																				
NC 2/		×			×		;	×.								×	×			5.0%	36	
% Total Ash	,	5.82	3.93	•	5.43		. 7	5.55	3.53		3.77	3.72		3.78	4.11	.2	5.37		3,83	!!!!!	! !	
Sample 1/	Navv	8	p • q	• 0	٠ ب	Marines		• J	• 60	Air Force		(2,4)	Army					Industry		Spec. Req.	% Nonconform-	ance

Moisture Analysis and Scoville Pungency Ratings of Cayenne Pepper $\underline{2}/$ Table 8.

Sample <u>1</u> /	% Moisture	NC	Scoville Rating	NC
Navy	(
, cd	7.62		_	
р.	2.40		= 45 M Units	
· 0	7.33		^	
d.	6.07			
Marines				
φ.	9.08		•	
•	3.37		= 45 M Units	5 ·
6.0	6.13			
Air Force)=	
h	3.01			
٠٠٠	2.88		<pre> = 45 M Units</pre>	. • !
•	5.17			
بكر _•	3.13		**	
Army				
1.0	2.52		45	
m.	2.94		45 M	
n.	2.52			
Industry				
ET	5.89		\$ = 45 M Units	
IN	6.61			
Specification requirement $\frac{3}{}$		10.0		30 - 45 M Units
% Nonconformance		0		0

Red pepper - Red pepper has been adopted as the official nomenclature for cayenne pepper and red pepper 1/ See Table 1. NC = Nonconformance 2/ Red pepper - Re by ASTA.

Scoville Heat Units have been revised from a minimum of 30 M Units for red pepper and 45 M Units for Cayenne pepper to a minimum of 30 M Units for both peppers in Federal Specification EE-S-631H. The maximum of 55 M Units for both peppers remains unchanged.

Table 9. Profile Panel Evaluation of Spices and Herbs

Navy 1/ a. G
13
(Clove-like)
•
(clove-like)
(Slightly weak)
S1.W
G&W (2 differ-
ent sources)
:
Good Flavor

Table 10. Quality of Bay Leaves as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluations, and Packaging Media

C		· ·	•		;		,
Samp	sample rackaging media	Date Packed	ol Oil	NC %		NC Proj	Profile
		or Procured	Exp as (m1/100)	Mo	Moisture	Eva] tion	Evalua- tion
H	Glass - ½ oz in 112 x 408 btl w/plastic cap	-			5.01	<u> </u>	
. 2.	Glass bt1 w/plastic cap	5/72		×	6.70	ტ	
m m	Glass btl w/plastic cap	5/72	1.07		4.95	S1.1	W
4.	7	2/72	1.19		5,49	Ð	
δ.	Can - 2 oz in 210 x 402 x 514 with friction top	3/72	1.26		5.37	ტ	
9	n friction	11/71	1.32	-•	5.25	S1.W	
7 。	304 with	12			5.19	<u></u>	(very)
 	304 with plastic	1	0.87 X		5.31	≊	
2000	friction top						
۾	Bag - 2 oz in 208 x 508 x 312 glassine lined paper	r 7/71	₹ 96°0	X	5.58	ტ	300
	ded over closure						
10.	Bag - 2 oz in 208 x 504 x 306 glassine lined paper	r 11/71	1.0	-•	5.01	უ	
	led over closure						01 -
11.	Bag - 2 oz in 208 x 508 x 308 glassine lined paper	į.	1.17	-•	5.01	ტ	
	led over closure						
12.	Polystyrene cup - 2 oz in 313 x 504 with poly-fric-	o	0.82	X	5.55	W	57
							ž.
13.	Polystyrene cup - 2 oz in 314 x 508 with poly-fric-	1	0.57	×	5.31	Z	
2000			6.				.5
14.	Fiberboard carton - 2 oz in 504 x 608 with fiber-	8/71	1.03		4.83	M	
	cover						
15.	Fiberboard carton - 2 oz in 310 x 701 with fiber-	6/72	0.98	×	5.01	×	
	board slip cover						
16.	oz in 214 x 400 x	1	1.19		7.91 X	M	1
17.	Box - 3 oz in 102 x 204 x 500 chipboard with fric-	- 5/72	0.8	₩.	5.21	<u>`</u>	(very)
	tion top						
Spec	Specification requirement			. 0*	7.0	°0**	10.
NC =	Nonconforming						
ll CD	Good flavor						
¦≅,							
	Not less						
 - -	Not more than						

2

Table 11. Quality of Garlic Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media

Sample Packaging Media	Date Packed or Procured	% Moisture	NC	Profile Evaluation	uo
1. Packed in glass btl $2 \times 2 \times 5$ with plastic screw	5/72	6.12		5	
2. 10 oz glass btl 2-7/8 x 6 with plastic cap 3. Glass - 2-3/8 oz packed in jar 1-11/16 x 4^{-1} 2 with	4/72	6.46	×	ஒ ஒ	
prastic screw cap 4. Glass $-2-3/8$ oz packed in jar $1-5/8$ with plastic	ı	6.83	×	9	
5. Glass - 7 ½ oz packed in btl 2-½ x 6-3/8 with plastic screw cap	5/72	6.80	×	ð	
6. 2 oz packed in hermetically sealed can 202×204	1/72	8,48	×	M	
7. 2 oz packed in hermetically sealed can 202×204	12/71	8.83	×	M .	
oz dredge type, o	2/72	4.1		G	
type, (1/72	5.97		ტ	
10. Can - 2 oz dredge type, clinch	1	3,95		ტ	
type, (t	6.04		ტ	
12. Can - 2 oz dredge type, clinch	ı	5,71		ŋ	
13. Can - 2 oz dredge type, clinch	l		(Caked solid)	M (PI	1
14. Can - 2 oz dredge type, clinch	-10/71	5.95		G	
Specification requirement	1 1 1 1	1	6.5**		
NC = Nonconforming		•			9
W = Weak flavor					
G = Good flavor		0			
** = Not more than					

Quality of Cinnamon Powder as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media Table 12.

Prof11e	Evaluation	ac	O	_O		T T		S1. W. (medicinal)		G(Clove like)	8	W(Clove like)	1 1 ×	٠ ن		ŋ		Ď		SLW		W (not Cin-	namon)	M		Ů		9		U) (4)		
Pro	Eva	NC tion	its					S1.				80								S	*	2)											
	%	Moisture	6.79	7.16		5.21		7.95	·	6.51		09°9		5.44		4.79		5.44	3 3 · ·	5.69		5.79	10 y	5.86		5.49	(1) (2)	7.25	,5'.	5.49		6.70	# #
		NC						×		×		×				×		×		×		×		×		×		×		×			
% Vol 011	Exp as	(m1/100g)	1,62	1.56		4-04		1.11	10	0.32	E	0.48		1.96		1,36		1.03		0, 68		0.91		0.39		1.15		1.13		1.18		3.11	
	Date Packed	or Procured	5/72	5/72		1				7/71	8	8/71	***			!!!	:			4/72		5/72	-	5/71	14	17/7	27					 - - - - -	
		e Packaging Media	Glass - packed in bt1 2 x 2 x 5	Glass - packed in btl 2 x 2 x 5	with plastic screw cap	Glass - 1+3/4 oz packed in 108 x	x 410 btl with plastic cap	3 - 1-3/4	312 btl with plastic cap	- 4 oz pac	hermetically sealed	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x 513	friction top	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x 513	friction top	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x 513	friction top	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x 513	friction top	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x 513		Can - 3 oz packed in 112 x 208 x 313		Can - 3 oz packed in $100 \times 214 \times 312$		Can - 4 oz packed in 104 x 210 x 404	top_clinch	Can - 4 oz packed in 110 x 214 x 312		Can - 4 oz packed in 108 x 210 x 404	top, clinch		dredge top, clinch
		Sample	1,	2.		ъ,		4.		5	19	9	2	7.		∞		.6		10.	31	11.		12.	24	13.		14.	94	15.		16.	

(Cont'd) Quality of Cinnamon Powder as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluation, and Packaging Media Table 12.

ile	Evaluation			W(medicinal)	S1. musty W(very clove-	like)	
Profile	Evalu	NC tion	ja .	W			11.0**
	%	Moisture	5.63	7.72	94°9		
		NC	×	×	×		1.5*
% Vol 011	Exp as	(m1/100g)	0.92	0.48	0.38		CF on one
	Date Packed	or Procured	4/71	3/72	4/72		
		Packaging Media	Can - 1# packed in 211 x 402 x 513 dredge top, clinch	Carton- 1# packed in 310 x 701,	Carton - 1# packed in 310 x 701,	slip cover	Specification Requirement
		Sample	17.	18.	19.		

G= Good flavor W= Weak flavor

NC= Nonconforming *= Not less than

**= Not more than

Table 13. Quality of Oregano Leaf and Powder as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluation and Packaging Media

Profile Evalua-	Moisture NC tion		8.88	7.86 W	7.14 G	7.32 G	5.58 G	6.54	6.18 G	5.64 W	6.12 G	5.52 G	90 y		5./U G(SI. musty)	5.40 G	82
*	NC Moi	9		. 7.	7.			io.	9			بن این					5.82
	6°		×	×		×	×	×		×	×		Þ	9 1	∢	×	×
% Vol Oil	(m1/100g)	3.20	2.15	2.23	4.90	1.62	2.73	1.83	3.41	1.39	1.52	3.13	70 -	†	7.10	1.34	1.76
Date Packed	or Procured	5/72	5/72		1	9/71		2/72	3/72	4/71	-				£	9 9 9	10/71
	Packaging Media	Glass - packed in jar 2 x 2 x 5 with plastic cap	Glass - packed in jar 2 x 2 x 5 with	plastic cap Glass - 1-3/4 oz packed in 112 x 408 bt1	Glass - $7/16$ oz packed in 112 x 312 bt1	Can - 2 0z pack hermetically sealed 202 x 204	Can - 1 oz loosely packed in 104 x 205 x 203	1	МI	× i	205 x 305 dredge top, clinch Can - 2 oz loosely packed in 105 x	205 x 305 dredge top, clinch Can - 2 oz loosely packed in 105 x	205 x 305 dredge top, clinch			Can - 2 oz packed in $105 \times 205 \times 305$. dredge top, clinch	Can - 2 oz packed in 105 x 205 x 305
	Sample	1,	2.	ຕໍ	.4	5.	9.	7.	∞	6	10.	11.	12	1 7	j	14.	15.

(Cont'd) Quality of Oregano Leaf and Powder as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluation and Packaging Media Table 13.

Profile	Evalua-	tion	G(S1.	weak)	*
		NC			10.0**
	%	Moisture	7,32		
		NC	×		3°0%
% Vol Oil	Exp as	(m1/100g)	2.81		*** *** ***
	Date Packed	or Procured	11/71		ere alla ten ten
		Packaging Media	Can - 10 oz packed in 205 x 405 x 600	dredge top, clinch	Specification Requirements
		Sample	16.		

W= Weak flavor G= Good flavor NC= Nonconforming *= Not less than **= Not more than

Quality of Chili Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, ASTA Color, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media Table 14.

Profile Evaluation	9	U		S1.W	U		9			ල		ტ		ტ		9		: •		æ		ı		ტ		9	
Ü	×	×	- 1	⋈	M		×			×		×		×		×		×		×		×		×		×	
Color (ASTA)	47.6	43.8	- [51.8	40.7		54.3			47.2		41.1		32.1		2.42		31.8		13.2		10.3		35.4		9.5	
Ü																											
% Woisture	8.83	10.26		7.65	6.03		3.73			06*9		6.78		4.79		3.92		3.67		3.61		4.11		3.86		4.42	
Date Packed or Procured	5/72			2/10	1//1		7/72			!		5/72				9/71							•	10/71		3/70	
Packaging Media	Glass - packed in btl 2 x 2 x 5 with plastic screw cap	Glass - 2 1/4 oz packed in 112 x	screw ca	can - 4 oz packed in ZLI x 303 hermetically sealed	Can - 4 oz packed in 211 x 303	hermetically sealed	$\operatorname{Can} - 1 \text{ i}/2$ oz packed in 104 x	205×203 friction top can with	plastic cap	Can - 4 oz packed in 110 x 2214	x 312 friction top	Can - 4 oz packed in 112 x 208	x 312 friction top	Can - 1# packed in 210 x 402 x	513 friction top	Can - 4 oz packed in 108 x 210 x	404 dredge top, clinch	zo	dredge top, clinch	20 5 -	404 dredge top, clinch	20 7 -	400 dredge top, clinch	20 7 -	404 dredge top, clinch	- 4 oz	400 dredge top, clinch
Sample	1.	2.		÷	4.		5.			•9		7.		တ်		9.		10.		11.		12.		13.		14.	

Table 14. (Cont'd) Quality of Chili Powder as Related to Chemical Analysis, ASTA Color, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media

		•	i		,		Profile	
		Date Packed	%		Color		Evalua-	
Sample	Packaging	or Procured	Moisture	NC	(ASTA)	NC	tion	
15.	Can - 4 oz packed in 110 x 214 x	2/72	5.29		25.6 X	×	Z	
	312 dredge top, clinch							
16.	Can - 16 oz packed in 205 x 405 x	3/71	7.65		36.2	×	ტ	
	601 dredge top, clinch							
17.	Carton - 1# packed in 309 x 700,	1/71	5,10		23.2		Ð	
	slip cover							
18.	Carton - 1# packed in 309 x 700,	10/71	2.11		16.4		9	
	slip cover							
	Specification Requirement					¥0.		

W= Weak flavor G= Good flavor

NC= Nonconforming *= Not less than

Table 15. Quality of Ground Mustard as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media

		Date Packed	% Vol		64		Profile Evalua-
Sample	Packaging Media	or Procured	Mustard	NC	Moisture	NC	tion
+	Glass - packed in btl 2 x 2 x 5	5/72	0.45		3.01		G
	with plastic cap						
2.	Can - 4 oz packed in 210 x 303	3/71	0.02	×	5.66		M
	hermetically sealed						
ŕ	Can - 4 oz packed in 210 x 303	9/71	0.01	×	5.96		W (must-
	hermetically sealed						ard musty)
4°	Can - 1 1/8 packed in 104 x 205 x	*	0.58		3,78		M
	203 plastic friction top closure						
ů	Can - 1 $1/8$ packed in 104 x 205 x		0.39	×	5,43		K
	203 plastic friction top closure						
° 9	Can = 1 1/4 oz packed in 104 x 204	1 1 1	0.01	×	4.84		Z.
	x 212 friction top	:					
7.	Can - 4 oz packed in 108 x 210 x	9/71	0.68	×	5.07		G
	404 dredge top, clinch						
ထိ	20 7 -	2/71	0.44		3,42		W
	311 dredge top, clinch						
°6		-	0.79	×	4.54		G(not
	404 dredge top, clinch						clean)
10.	20 7 -		0.71	×	3.42	•	ტ
	400 dredge top, clinch				C.		
• ====================================	20 7 -	1 1 1	67°0		5°22		ტ
	404 dredge top, clinch						
12.	Can - 4 oz packed in 104 x 210 x	12/71	0.56		4°48		IJ
	404 dredge top, clinch						
13.	Can - 16 oz packed in 211 x 403 x	3/71	0.61	×	4.72		ტ
	513 dredge top, clinch						
14.	Can - 1# packed in 211 x 403 x 513	11/71	0.44		4.13		X
	with dredge top, clinch				:		
15,	Carton - 4 oz packed in 309 x 200	01/6	0.78	×	2.95		G
	carton with slipcover						

Table 15. (Cont'd) Quality of Ground Mustard as Related to Chemical Analyses, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media

ackaging NC Moisture NC tion pecification requirement 0.4**to0.6*
--

G= Good flavor
W= Weak flavor
NC= Nonconforming
*= Not more than
**= Not less than

Quality of Red Pepper as Related to Chemical Analysis Scoville Ratings, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media Table 16.

				l			1		1					
Profile Evalua- tion		s) G	s) G	M (s	s) G	s) G	s) G	× (s	s) G	s) G	t sample	M (s	s) G	
Scoville Rating	S(≠ 45M Units	S(寿 45M Units)	S(₹ 45M Units)	S(∋ 45M Units)	S(≽ 45M Units)	S(夷 45M Units)	S(= 45M Units)	S(夷 45M Units)	S(≥ 31M Units)	S(≠ 45M Units)	S Insufficient sample	S(≥ 45M Units) W	S(≠ 45M Units)	
N N														
% Moisture	5-79	6.61	5.17	7.63	7.33	9.08	3.13	3.01	2.52	2.40	3.37	6.13	2.94	
Date Packed or Procured	5/72	5/72	-	1/71	10/71	1 1 1		!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		3/72	!!!!!	11/71		. (
Packaging Media	Glass - packed in btl 2 x 2 x 5 with plastic screw can	packed	Glass - 1 7/8 oz packed in 112 x 408 btl with plastic screw cap	Can - 2 oz packed in 202 x 204 and	Can - 2 oz packed in 202 x 204 and	Can - 2 oz packed in 202 x 204 and hermetically sealed	1 1/8 oz packed	Z-E	Can - 1 1/4 oz packed in 104 x 204 x	- 2 oz packe		сор, 02 р 403	Can - 2 oz packed in $105 \times 206 \times 213$ dredge top, clinch	
Sample	_•	2	ကိ	4.	ຳນ	ဖိ	7.	ထံ	စ်	.01	11.	12.	<u>.</u>	

Quality of Red Pepper as Related to Chemical Analysis Scoville Ratings, Profile Evaluations and Packaging Media Table 16. (CONT'D)

Sample	<u>Sample Packaging Media</u>	Date Packed % or Procured Moisture NC Rating	% Moisture	NC		Profile Evalua- tion
14.	Can - 2 oz packed in 105 x 206 x 213	8/71	2.52		S(≛45M Units) sl.W	Δ.
15.	Can - 2 oz packed in 105 x 206 x 213 dender ton 11 and 12 ton 11 and 12 ton 11 and 12 ton 12 and 12		2.88		O(no flavor)	
16.	Gan - 16 oz packed in 210 x 402 x 513	4/71	6.07		S(₹ 45M Units) W	÷~
	ureage top, clinch Specification Requirement			10*	10* 30-55,000 Units	

G = Good Flavor
W = Weak Flavor
NC = Nonconforming
* = Not more than

Table 17. Microbiology of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces

	No. of	i Ge	Yeast and Molds	Range Coliforms (MPN)	Range of Counts/g of Spice Coliforms Fecal Coagulase (MPN) Coliforms Positive	of Spice Coagulase Positive	Clostridium perfringens
Spice	Samples	(Aerobic Plate Count)				Staphlococci (MPN)	
Bay Leaves	16	100 to 30,000	100 to 670,000	30	30	30	100 to 500
Cayenne Pepper	15	3400 to 9,100,000	100 to 20,000	30 to 2400	30	30	100 to 250
Chili Powder	18	100 to 540,000	100 to 8,000	30	30	30	100
Cinnamon	18	10,700 to 780,000	100 to 4,600	30 to 230	30	30	50 to 300
Garlic	16	3,700 to 980,000	100 to 500	30 to 230	30	30.	100
Mustard Powder	16	500 to 5300	100 to 2,200	30	30	30	100
Oregano	15	1200 to 3,600,000	100 to 27,000	30	30	30 to 30	50 to 2850
	5.0						

Table 18. Aerobic Plate Count (APC) of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces

Spices	No of Samples	÷		Number	of Sample	s Containir	P	(I)		:
≟:	,	100	100 to	1000 to	10,000 to 50,000	50,000 to 1	100,000 to 5 500,000	500,000 to 1,000,000	1,000,000 to 5,000,000 10	to 5,000,000 to 10,000,000
Bay Leaves	16	1	. 0	7	æ	0	0	0	0	0
Cayenne Pepper	. 15	0	0	, L .	; -	. 0	က	<u> </u>		Ņ.
Chili Powder	18	0	9	හ	4	2 1 · · ·	2	-	0 .	0
Cinnamon	18	0	0	0	თ	က	4	5	0	0
Garlic	16	0	. 0	4	. 2	. 2	വ	· m	0	0
Mustard Powder	. 16	-	9	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oregano	16	0	0	5.	4	2		7	-	0
TOTAL	114	2	12	59	28	6	. st	6	വ	ഹ

Table 19. Yeast and Mold Count of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces

									,
Spice	Total of Samples	100	Number of 100 to 1000	Samples Conta 1000 to 10,000	Number of Samples Containing (per gram) 100 to 1000 to 10,000 to 30,000	ram) 30,000	H 1	K	
Bay Leaves		7	က	S	0	'S	74 g		=
Cayenne Pepper	15	ω	5	er e	5	0	i	2	
Chili Powder	18	17	0	-	0 8	0	s 8		= = ,
Cinnamon	18	ю	o,	9	0	0			
Garlic	9.	=	5	0	0	0.			1.
Mustard Powder	16	12	: 	-	0	0			Ł
Oregano	. 15	2	m	ω	2	0		a	
TOTAL	113	09	25	24	4	-			

Table 20. Coliform Count of Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces

		24	Number of Samples Containing (MPN per gram)	s Containing	(MPN per gram)		8
Spice	No of Samples	30	30 to 100	100 to 230	230		
Bay Leaves	16	16	. 0	0	0		8
Cayenne Pepper	1.5	111	8	0	1.9		
Chili Powder	18	18	. 0	. 0			
Cinnamon	18	14	3	1	0		B
Garlic	16	14	1	7	0	1	
Mustard Powder	16	16	0	0	0		
Oregano	15	15 	0	0	0	80	R
TOTAL	114	104	7	2	T .	3 13	
^a 2400/g							

Table 21. Clorstridum perfringens Count in Processed Spices Used by the Armed Forces

		N	Number of Samples containing (per gram)	es contai	ning (per g	ram)			82
Spices	No or Samples	100	100 to 500	500	500 to 1000	1000	1000 to 3000		
Bay Leaves	16	14	2		0		0		
Cayenne Pepper	15	. 13	. 2	5 ₂ 8	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0		
Chili Powder	18	18	(A)	2 2	0	120	0		
Cinnamon	18	15ª	ĸ	8	0		0	a 8	
Garlic	16.	16	0	9	0		0		1
Mustard Powder	16	16	0		0		0		111 273 4545
Oregano	1.5	e 6	2	8	2		2		
TOTAL	114	101	6		2		2		1 -1-

aTwo Samples had 50/g

Table 22. Spices Containing Food Poisoning Bacteria and Coliforms

:	:	9			
Spices N	No of Samples	C. perfringens	Coagulase Positive Staphylococci	Coliforms	
Bay Leaves	16	12	0	0	
Cayenne Pepper	15	20	0	27 ^{a.}	
Chili Powder	15	0	0	0	III
Cinnamon	18	28	0	22ª	ı
Garlic	16	0	3 O	19 ^a	. 9
Mustard Powder	16	0	0	0	,
Oregano	15	53	7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0	

 $^{
m a}$ Fecal Coliforms were negative (30/g)

Table 23. Food Poisoning Bacteria and Coliforms Found in Different Brands and Spices

perf.* Coll** C. perf. Coll. C. perf. Coll C. perf. Coll Coll* 0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Brand	Bay Leaves		Cayenne	renne Pepper	Ci		Garlic		Oregano		
0 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		C. perf.*	Coli**	perf	Coli.	C. perf.		C. perf.	Coli	C. perf.	Coli	C.P.*** Staph.
0 0 7 7 5.5 11 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 13 13 11 5.5 0 19 33 0 0 0		0 .		0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 0 13 11 5.5 0 19 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>11</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>			0	7	7	5.5	11	0	0	7	0	0
0 0 <td></td> <td>. 9</td> <td>O</td> <td>1</td> <td>13</td> <td>11</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>19</td> <td>33</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>		. 9	O	1	13	11	5.5	0	19	33	0	0
0 0 <td><u>a</u></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td>7 R</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	<u>a</u>	0	0	1						7 R	1	1
	T	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O.	5 9 G	3	
	Maria Ma								22	7	0	0
	0 E							-		7	0	7
0 0 0 0		0	0	0.	7	0	0	0	0			1
			-		=	0	Ó			0	0	0

. Ç *

perfringens Coliforms No sample Coagulase Positive Stapylococci **